APPENDIX B VISUAL RESOURCES MANAGEMENT WORKSHEETS

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

SCENIC	QUALITY FIELD INVENTORY
	Rating Summary

Date 2/16/07		
District		
Resource Area		

1. Evaluators (names) Average

SCENIC QUALITY RATING UNITS	Landform	Vegetation	Water	Color	Adjacent Scenery	Scarcity	Cultural Modification	Total Score	Scenic Quality Rating	EXPLANATION
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
Viewpoint 1	2.25	2.75	0	2.75	3.75	2	0.25	13	В	
Viewpoint 2	2.75	1.5	0	2.5	2.5	2.2 5	-2.5	9.25	С	
Viewpoint 3	1.75	1.5	0	1	2.5	0	-3.5	4.25	С	
Viewpoint 4	1.75	1.5	0	2.75	4.25	3.7 5	0	14.7 5	В	
Viewpoint 5	1.25	1.75	0	1.5	2.25	1.5	-1.5	6.75	С	
Viewpoint 6	1.25	2.75	0	1.5	1	2.5	-1	6	С	·
Viewpoint 7	2.25	4	0	2.75	3.5	2.5	0	15	В	
Viewpoint 8	2.75	2	0	2.75	1.5	1.5	1.75	12	В	

INSTRUCTIONS

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

SCENIC	QUALI	TY	FIEL	D	INVENTORY
	Ratin	g S	Sum	m	ary

Date 2/16/07	
District	

Resource Area

1. E	Evaluators	(names)) David	Atwater
------	------------	---------	---------	---------

SCENIC QUALITY RATING UNITS	Landform	Vegetation	Water	Color	Adjacent Scenery	Scarcity	Cultural Modification	Total Score	Scenic Quality Rating	EXPLANATION
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
Viewpoint 1	2	2	0	1	3	2	0	10	С	Some color variation. Flat foreground
	,	,	١,	_	_					mountainous background.
Viewpoint 2	3	1	0	3	2	3	-3	9	С	Extreme variation in landscape
Viewpoint 3	2	3	0	1	3	1	-2	8	С	Mining is discordant.
Viewpoint 4	2	1	0	1	4	3	0	11	С	Flat foreground, expansive
Viewpoint 5	2	1	0	1	1	1	-3	3	C	Power lines are the dominant feature
Viewpoint 6	2	4	0	1	1	3	-4	7	С	Dense vegetation, with discordant cultural modifications.
Viewpoint 7	2	4	0	2	3	3	0	15	В	Variety of vegetation. Mountainous background enhances view.
Viewpoint 8	2	3	0	1	3	5	1	15	В	Landform variation w/harmonious cultural modifications.
								ļ !		
		i								

INSTRUCTIONS

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

SCENIC QUALITY	FIELD INVENTORY
Rating	Summary

Date 2/16/07		
District		
Resource Area	 	

1. Evaluators (names) Kelly Czechowski

SCENIC QUALITY RATING UNITS	Landform	Vegetation	Water	Color	Adjacent Scenery	Scarcity	Cultural Modification	Total Score	Scenic Quality Rating	EXPLANATION
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
Viewpoint 1	3	3	0	3	3	1	0	13	В	Mountain back droop forms nice contrast w/existing plains.
Viewpoint 2	3	1	0	3	0	1	-4	4	С	Existing infrastructure and piles of mining slag subtract from existing site.
Viewpoint 3	1	1	0	1	0	1	-4	0	С	Jumbled mix of land-unhindered view from plain to foot of mountains.
Viewpoint 4	3	1	0	3	3	5	0	18	В	Great expanse of land – unhindered view from plain to foot of mountains.
Viewpoint 5	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	4	С	View shed contains little to vegetation-mainly rocks and road.
Viewpoint 6	1	3	0	1	0	1	-4	2	С	Little variation in landform-very drub looking.
Viewpoint 7	3	5	0	3	3	3	0	17	В	Variety of vegetation adds depth and texture to view shed.
Viewpoint 8	3	1	0	1	0	1	2	8	C	Cultural modification adds variety through-historic bridge.

INSTRUCTIONS

UNITED STATES

Date 2/16/07	
District	

BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT	
R	Resource Area
SCENIC QUALITY FIELD INVENTORY Rating Summary	
1. Evaluators (names) Sheryl Horn	

SCENIC QUALITY RATING UNITS	Landform	Vegetation	Water	Color	Adjacent Scenery	Scarcity	Cultural Modification	Total Score	Scenic Quality Rating	EXPLANATION
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
Viewpoint 1	1	3	0	3	3	1	0	11	С	Adjacent scenery visually pleasing.
Viewpoint 2	3	3	0	1	3	3	-2	12	В	Fence is distracting, not much variation in color.
Viewpoint 3	3	1	0	1	3	1	-4	5	С	Mining disturbance cultural modifications detracts from view.
Viewpoint 4	1	1	0	3	5	3	0	13	В	Some color variation between foreground and background. Mountains in background provide nice contrast to mostly flat foreground.
Viewpoint 5	1	3	0	1	3	1	0	9	С	Relatively uniform color non variation from vegetation or landform.
Viewpoint 6	1	1	0	1	0	3	-2	4	С	Mining activities resulted in some landform variation, but overall there is little variety in color and vegetation.
Viewpoint 7	1	3	0	3	3	1	0	11	С	Adjacent scenery provides nice background, but foreground in fairly common.
Viewpoint 8	3	1	0	1	0	3	2	10	C	Bridge provides interesting cultural modification, but overall setting does not stand out.

INSTRUCTIONS

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

SCENIC	QUALITY FIELD IN	/ENTORY
	Rating Summary	V

Date 2/16/07	
District	

Resource Area

1.	Evaluators	(names)	Tabitha	Kevari
	Lituutois	(i wiii co	, I acidia	120 1001

SCENIC QUALITY RATING UNITS	Landform	Vegetation	Water	Color	Adjacent Scenery	Scarcity	Cultural Modification	Total Score	Scenic Quality Rating	EXPLANATION
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
Viewpoint 1	3	3	0	4	5	4	-1	18	В	Color variation between foreground and background ad. Sc. Provides high contrast.
Viewpoint 2	2	1	0	3	5	3	-1	12	В	Mining up creates dominant visual impact.
Viewpoint 3	1	1	0	1	4	1	-4	4	С	Mining up creates dominant visual impact.
Viewpoint 4	1	3	0	4	5	4	0	17	В	Open landscape varies in color allows ad. Scenery to dominant view.
Viewpoint 5	1	2	0	3	5	3	-3	11	С	Foreground relatively flat, some color contrast w/ veg. rocks.
Viewpoint 6	1	3	0	3	3	3	-2	11	С	Cultural modifications disrupt harmony w/in landform.
Viewpoint 7	2	4	0	3	5	3	0	17	В	Views of adjacent scenery provide contrast. Color variations between foreground and background.
Viewpoint 8	3	3	0	3	3	1	2	15	В	Structure creates visual interest along roadway.
		:	l l							
i.						:				

INSTRUCTIONS