

This page intentionally left blank.

INTRODUCTION

IRWM planning regions must have an IRWM Plan that has been reviewed and deemed consistent with the 2012 IRWM Plan Standards by DWR for eligibility to receiving Round 3 Proposition 84 funding. This 2012 IRWM Plan Standards Review Form for DWR staff use provides a consistent means in determining whether the 2012 IRWM Guidelines are being addressed in the IRWM Plan. It is part of the Plan Review Process that will begin prior to Round 3 solicitation. The form is similar to a grant application review form in that there is a checklist for each of the 16 Plan Standards and narrative evaluations where required. However, the evaluation is pass/fail; there is no numeric scoring. Each Plan Standard is either sufficient or not based on its associated requirements. Each Standard consists of between one and fourteen requirements. A Yes or No is automatically calculated in each Plan Standard header based on the individual requirement evaluations. In general, a passing score of "C" (i.e. 70% of the requirements for a given Plan Standard) is required for a Standard to pass. Standards with only one or 2 requirements will need one or both of those requirements to pass. Standards with 3 requirements will need at least 2 of the requirements to pass. Standards with 4 or 5 requirements will need at least 3 to pass. Some plan elements are legislated requirements. Such plan elements to must be met in order to be consistent with plan standards. A summary of the sufficiency of each Standard. The evaluation for each Plan Standard summary worksheet. A "No" evaluation indicates that a Standard was not met due to insufficient requirements comprising the Standard. The evaluation for each Plan Standard any associated insufficiencies is automatically compiled on the Standard Summary page. Additional reviewer comments may be added at the bottom of each standards work sheet.

Note: This review form is meant to be a tool used in conjunction with the 2012 IRWM Guidelines document to assist in the evaluation of IRWM plans. It is not designed to be a substitute for the Guidelines document itself. Reviewers must use the Guidelines in determining plan consistency.

IRWM Plan Standard:	As named in the November 2012 IRWM Prop 84 and 1E Guidelines.
Overall Standard Sufficient:	This field is either "YES" or "NO" and is automatically calculated based on the "Sufficient" column described below. If all fields are "y", the overall standard is deemed sufficient. Any entry other than a "y" in the Sufficient column (i.e. "n", ?, not sure, more detail needed, etc.) results in a NO.
Plan Standard Requirements Which Must Be Addressed	Fields with an asterisk st are required by legislation to be included in an IRWM Plan.

Requirement	Requirements are taken directly from the November 2012 Guidelines.						
	Is the Guideline Requirement included in the IRWM Plan? The options are: y = yes, requirement is included in the IRWMP; or						
Included	n = no, requirement is not included in the IRWMP. If only y or n then presence/absence of the requirement is sufficient for						
Included	evaluation. If there is a "q" (qualitative) then add a brief narrative, similar to a Grant Application Review public evaluation or						
	supporting information.						
Plan Standard Source							
2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines	Page(s) in the Guidelines (November 2012) which pertain to the Requirement.						
Source Page(s)	Page(s) in the Guidelines (November 2012) which pertain to the Requirement.						
Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	The CWC or other regulations that pertain to the Requirement, if applicable. This is for reference purposes. The cell links to a						
Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	weblink of the regulatory code.						
Evidence of Sufficiency							
Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	The page(s) or sections in the IRWM Plan where information on the Requirement can be found. This can be specific						
Location of Standard in Grantee IRWIN Plan	paragraphs or entire chapters for more general requirements.						
	Supporting information for the Requirement if a "q" is in the Included column. This can be just a few sentences or a paragraph						
Brief Qualitative Evaluation Narrative	and can be taken directly from the IRWM Plan. Comments or supporting information may be entered regardless of whether						
	required.						
Sufficient	Is the Guidelines requirement sufficiently represented in the IRWM Plan (y/n).						

2012 IRWM Plan Standards Review Form

Regional Acceptance Process Planning Region: Not Regional Water Management Group: Basin Technical Advisory Committee IRWM Plan Title: Upper Santa Ana River Watershed Integrated Regional Water Management Plan Update DWR Reviewer:

PLAN IS SUFFICIENT

IRWM Plan Standard	Overall Standard Sufficient	Requirement(s) Insufficient		
Governance	Yes			
Region Description	Yes			
<u>Objectives</u>	Yes			
Resource Management Strategies	Yes			
Integration *	Yes			
Project Review Process	Yes			
Impact and Benefit	Yes			
Plan Performance and Monitoring	Yes			
Data Management	Yes			
Finance	Yes			
Technical Analysis	Yes			
Relation to Local Water Planning	Yes			
Relation to Local Land Use Planning	Yes			
Stakeholder Involvement	Yes			
Coordination	Yes			
Climate Change	Yes			

* If not included as an individual section use Governance, Project Review Process, and Data Management Standards per November 2012 Guidelines, p. 44.

Additional Comments:

IRWM Plan Standard: Governance	Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes							
Requirement	Included Plan Standard Source			Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient				
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative		2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Regulatory and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Document a governance structure to ensure upda	tes to the IR	WM Plan							
The name of the RWMG responsible for implementation of the IRWMP	y/n		18/35	CWC §10539	Sections 1.1, 1.4.1				
A description of the IRWM governance structure	y/n		19/36	<u>ewe 910335</u>	Section 1.4				
A description of how the chosen form of governan	ce addresses	and ensure	s:						
Public outreach and involvement processes	y/n/q		19/36-37		Section 1.4, Appendix D				
Effective decision making	y/n/q		19/37		Section 1.4.2				
Balanced access and opportunity for participation in the IRWM process	y/n/q		19/37		Sections 1.4.1, 1.4.2				
Effective communication – both internal and external to the IRWM region	y/n/q		19/37-38		Section 1.4.3				
Long term implementation of the IRWM Plan	y/n/q		19/38	<u>§10540, §10541</u>	Sections 1.4.1, 1.4.2				
Coordination with neighboring IRWM efforts and State and federal agencies	y/n/q		19/38		Sections 1.4.3, 1.5				
The collaborative process(es) used to establish plan objectives	y/n/q		19/38		Sections 1.4.2, Section 4.2.2				
How interim changes and formal changes to the IRWM Plan will be performed	y/n/q		19/38		Section 7.1.3				
Updating or amending the IRWM Plan	y/n/q		19/38		Section 7.1.3				
Publish NOI to prepare/update the plan; adopt the plan in a public meeting	y/n/q		35	<u>CWC §10543</u>	Section 1.3				

IRWM Plan Standard: Region Desc	Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes					
Requirement	Inclu	ıded	Plan Stand	lard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
If applicable, describe and explain how the plan will help reduce dependence on the Delta supply	y/n	у	20		Sections 4.3.1, 7.1.6		
regionally							
Describe watersheds and water systems	y/n	у	19/39	PRC §75026.(b)(1) and CWP Update 2009	Section 2.1,		
Describe internal boundaries	y/n	у	19/39		Throughout Chapter 2		
Describe water supplies and demands for minimum 20 year planning horizon	y/n	У	19/39		Throughout Chapter 3		
Describe water quality conditions	y/n	У	19/40		Sections 2.4.4, 2.5		
Describe social and cultural makeup, including specific information on DACs and tribal communities in the region and their water challenges.	y/n/q	У	19/40		Section 2.8		
Describe major water related objectives and conflicts *	y/n/q	У	19/40	<u>§10541. (e)(3)</u>	Sections 1.2, 2.4, 2.5, 4.2.1		
Explain how IRWM regional boundary was determined and why region is an appropriate area for IRWM planning.	y/n/q	у	19/40		Sections 1.1, 1.2		
Describe neighboring and/or overlapping IRWM efforts	y/n	У	19/40		Section 1.5		
Explain how opportunities are maximized (e.g. people at the table, natural features, infrastructure) for integration of water management activities	y/n	У	38		Sections 1.4, 1.5		

IRWM Plan Standard: Objectives						Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes
Requirement	Incl	uded	Plan Stand	lard Source	Ev	Sufficient	
From IRWM Guidelines	Present in t If y/n/q q	sent/Not the IRWMP. Jualitative n needed.	2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Qualitative Narrative	y/n
Through the objectives or other areas of the plan, the 7 items on pg 41 of GL are addressed.*	y/n	у	20/40 - 41	<u>§10540.(c)</u>	Throughout Chapter 3		
Describe the collaborative process and tools used to establish objectives: - How the objectives were developed - What information was considered (i.e., water management or local land use plans, etc.) - What groups were involved in the process - How the final decision was made and accepted by the IRWM effort	y/n	y	20/41		Section 4.2, 4.3		
Identify quantitative or qualitative metrics and measureable objectives: Objectives must be measurable - there must be some metric the IRWM region can use to determine if the objective is being met as the IRWM Plan is implemented. Neither quantitative nor qualitative metrics are considered inherently better. *	y/n/q	У	20/41 - 42	<u>10541.(e)</u>	Section 8.1		
Explain how objectives are prioritized or reason why the objectives are not prioritized	y/n/q	у	20/42-43		Section 4.3.5		
Reference specific overall goals for the region: RWMGs may choose to use goals as an additional layer for organizing and prioritizing objectives, or they may choose to not use the term at all.	y/n	у	43		Section 4.3		

IRWM Plan Standard: Resource Managen	Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes					
Requirement	Incl	uded	Plan Star	ndard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		Program Guidelines	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Identify RMS incorporated in the IRWM Plan: Consider all California Water Plan (CWP) RMS criteria (29) listed in Table 3 from the CWP Update 2009 *	y/n		20/43	<u>CWP Update 2009</u> Volume II; 10541(e)(1)	Section 5.1		
Consideration of climate change effects on the IRWM region must be factored into RMS	y/n		20/43		Section 5.1		
Address which RMS will be implemented in achieving IRWM Plan Objectives	y/n		44		Section 5.1		

IRWM Plan Standard: Integration	Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes					
Requirement	Incl	uded	Plan Star	ndard Source	Evi	idence of Sufficiency	Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines	Present in If y/n/q o	esent/Not the IRWMP. qualitative on needed.	2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Contains structure and processes for developing and fostering integration ¹ : - Stakeholder/institutional - Resource - Project implementation	y/n/q	у	20/44 - 45	<u>§10540.(g);</u> §10541.(h)(2)	Stakeholder/Institutional: Section 1.4 Resource/Project Implementation: Section 5.3		

1. If not included as an individual section use Governance, Project Review Process, and Data Management Standards per November 2012 Guidelines, p. 44.

IRWM Plan Standard: Project Revi	Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes					
Requirement	Incl	uded	Plan Standa	ard Source		Sufficien	
From IRWM Guidelines	Present in If y/n/q o	sent/Not the IRWMP. qualitative n needed.	2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Regulatory and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Process for projects included in IRWM plan must address 3 components: - procedures for submitting projects - procedures for reviewing projects - procedures for communicating lists of selected projects	y/n	Ŷ	20/45		Throughout Chapter 6		
Does the project review process in the plan							
incorporate the following factors:				-			
How a project contributes to plan objectives	y/n	У	20		Section 6.2		
How a project is related to Resource Management Strategies identified in the plan.	y/n	У	20		Section 6.2		
The technical feasibility of a project.	y/n	y	20		Section 6.2, 6.3		
A projects specific benefits to a DAC water issue.	y/n	y	20	<u>§75028.(a)</u>	Section 6.2, 6.3		
Environmental Justice considerations.	y/n	У	20		Section 6.2, 6.3		
Project costs and financing	y/n	у	20		Section 6.2, 6.3		
Address economic feasibility	y/n	у	21		Section 6.2, 6.3		
Project status	y/n	У	21		Section 6.2, 6.3		
Strategic implementation of plan and project merit	y/n	У	21/48		Section 6.2, 6.3		
Project's contribution to climate change adaptation	y/n	У	21		Section 6.2, 6.3		
Contribution of project in reducing GHGs compared to project alternatives	y/n	У	21		Section 6.2, 6.3		
Status of the Project Proponent's IRWM plan adoption	y/n	У	21		Section 6.2		
Project's contribution to reducing dependence on Delta supply (for IRWM regions receiving water from the Delta).	y/n	У	21		Section 6.2, 6.3 (incorporated as a part of objectives)		

IRWM Plan Standard: Impact and Bene	RWM Plan Standard: Impact and Benefit							
Requirement	Inclu	ıded	Plan Stand	ard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient	
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n	
Discuss potential impacts and benefits of plan implementation within IRWM region, between regions, with DAC/EJ concerns and Native American Tribal communities	y/n		21		Section 7.4			
State when a more detailed project-specific impact and benefit analysis will occur (prior to any implementation activity)	y/n		49		Section 7.4			
Review and update the impacts and benefits section of the plan as part of the normal plan management activities	y/n		50		Section 8.3			

IRWM Plan Standard: Plan Performan	Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes					
Requirement	Inclu	uded	Plan Stand	ard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Contain performance measures and monitoring methods to ensure that IRWM objectives are met *	y/n		21/53	PRC §75026.(a)	Section 8.1		
Contain a methodology that the RWMG will use to oversee and evaluate implementation of projects.	y/n		21/53	<u>nic 373020.(a)</u>	Section 8.1		

IRWM Plan Standard: Data Manag	ement					Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes	
Requirement	Included y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		Plan Stand	ard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency		
From IRWM Guidelines			Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative		2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Regulatory and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative
Describe data needs within the IRWM region	y/n		54		Section 8.2.1			
Describe typical data collection techniques	y/n		54		Section 8.2.1, Appendix K			
Describe stakeholder contributions of data to a data management system	y/n		54		Section 8.2.1			
Describe the entity responsible for maintaining data in the data management system	y/n		54		Section 8.2.2			
Describe the QA/QC measures for data	y/n		54		Sections 8.2.1, 8.2.2, Appendix K			
Explain how data collected will be transferred or shared between members of the RWMG and other interested parties throughout the IRWM region, including local, State, and federal agencies *	y/n		54		Sections 8.2.1, 8.2.2, Appendix K			
Explain how the Data Management System supports the RWMG's efforts to share collected data	y/n		54		Sections 8.2.1, 8.2.2, Appendix K			
Outline how data saved in the data management system will be distributed and remain compatible with State databases including CEDEN, Water Data Library (WDL), CASGEM, California Environmental Information Catalog (CEIC), and the California Environmental Resources Evaluation System (CERES).	y/n		54		Sections 8.2.1, 8.2.2, Appendix K			

IRWM Plan Standard: Finance						Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes
Requirement	Included y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		Plan Stand	ard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines			2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Include a programmatic level (i.e. general) plan for implementation and financing of identified projects and programs [*] including the following:	y/n	y	21		Section 7.2		
List known, as well as, possible funding sources, programs, and grant opportunities for the development and ongoing funding of the IRWM Plan.	y/n		21	*	Section 7.2.1		
List the funding mechanisms, including water enterprise funds, rate structures, and private financing options, for projects that implement the IRWM Plan.	y/n		21	<u>§10541.(e)(8)</u>	Section 7.2.1		
An explanation of the certainty and longevity of known or potential funding for the IRWM Plan and projects that implement the Plan.	y/n		21		Section 7.2.2		
An explanation of how operation and maintenance (O&M) costs for projects that implement the IRWM Plan would be covered and the certainty of operation and maintenance funding.	y/n		21		Section 7.2.2		

IRWM Plan Standard: Technical Analysis	Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes					
Requirement	Inclu	ıded	Plan Star	dard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Pres Present in t If y/n/q q evaluation	he IRWMP. ualitative	2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Document the data and technical analyses that were used in the development of the plan st	y/n		22		Section 1.3.3		

IRWM Plan Standard: Relation to Local W	Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes					
Requirement	Incl	uded	Plan Star	ndard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Identify a list of local water plans used in the IRWM plan	y/n		22		Section 1.3.3		
Discuss how the plan relates to these other planning documents and programs	y/n		22	540540 (L.)	Section 1.3.3		
Describe the dynamics between the IRWM plan and other planning documents	y/n		22	<u>§10540.(b)</u>	Section 1.3.3, 7.1.5		
Describe how the RWMG will coordinate its water mgmt planning activities	y/n		58		Section 7.1.5		

IRWM Plan Standard: Relation to Local La	Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes					
Requirement	Incl	uded	Plan Star	dard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines	Present in t	sent/Not the IRWMP. ualitative n needed.	2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Document current relationship between local land use planning, regional water issues, and water management objectives	y/n	У	22/59 - 62		Section 1.3.3		
Document future plans to further a collaborative, proactive relationship between land use planners and water managers	y/n	У	22/59 - 62		Section 7.1.5		

IRWM Plan Standard: Stakeholder Involve	Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes					
Requirement	Incl	uded	Plan Star	dard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines	Present in t	sent/Not he IRWMP. Jualitative n needed.	2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Contain a public process that provides outreach and opportunity to participate in the IRWM plan st	y/n	у	22/63	<u>§10541.(g)</u>			
Identify process to involve and facilitate stakeholders during development and implementation of plan regardless of ability to pay; include barriers to involvement *	y/n	у	64	§10541.(h) (2)	Sections 1.3.2, 1.4		
Discuss involvement of DACs and tribal communities in the IRWM planning effort	y/n	у	23		Section 1.4, Appendix D		
Describe decision-making process and roles that stakeholders can occupy	y/n	у	23		Sections 1.3.2, 1.4.1		
Discuss how stakeholders are necessary to address objectives and RMS	y/n	у	23		Section 1.4.3		
Discuss how a collaborative process will engage a balance in interest groups	y/n	У	23		Section 1.4		

IRWM Plan Standard: Coordination						Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes
Requirement	Included		Plan Star	dard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Identify the process to coordinate water management projects and activities of participating local agencies and stakeholders to avoid conflicts and take advantage of efficiencies *	y/n	у	23/65	<u>§10541.(e)(13)</u>	Section 1.4		
Identify neighboring IRWM efforts and ways to cooperate or coordinate, and a discussion of any ongoing water management conflicts with adjacent IRWM efforts	y/n	у	23/65		Section 1.5		
Identify areas where a state agency or other agencies may be able to assist in communication or cooperation, or implementation of IRWM Plan components, processes, and projects, or where State or federal regulatory decisions are required before implementing the projects.	y/n	у	23		Sections 1.4, 1.5		

IRWM Plan Standard: Climate Change	Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes					
Requirement	Included Plan			ndard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines	Present in t	ualitative	2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Evaluate IRWM region's vulnerabilities to climate change and potential adaptation responses based on vulnerabilities assessment in the DWR Climate Change Handbook for Regional Water Planning *	y/n	У	23/66 - 73	Climate Change Handbook vulnerability	Section 2.10, Section 4.2.1		
Provide a process that considers GHG emissions when choosing between project alternatives *	y/n	У	23/68	assessment: http://www.water.ca.g ov/climatechange/CCH	Sections 6.1.2, 6.1.3		
Include a list of prioritized vulnerabilities based on the vulnerability assessment and the IRWM's decision making process.	y/n	У	23/66 - 73	ov/climatechange/CCH andbook.cfm; November 2012 Guidelines Legislative and Policy Context, p. 66 §10541.(e)(11)	Section 4.2.1		
Contain a plan, program, or methodology for further data gathering and analysis of prioritized vulnerabilities	y/n	у	23/66 - 73		Sections 8.1, 8.3		
Include climate change as part of the project review process	y/n	У	23/68		Sections 6.1.2, 6.1.3		

Regulatory Citation	Link	Notes
IRWM Prop 84 and 1E Guidelines	http://www.water.ca.gov/irwm/grants/docs/Guidelines/GL_2012_FI NAL.pdf	DWR November 2012 Guidelines - Final
CWC §10539	http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/cgi- bin/displaycode?section=wat&group=10001-11000&file=10532- 10539	
CWC §10540, §10541	http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/cgi- bin/displaycode?section=wat&group=10001-11000&file=10540- 10543	
CWC §10543	http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/cgi- bin/displaycode?section=wat&group=10001-11000&file=10540- 10543	
PRC §75026, §75028, CWP Update 2009, and California Watershed Portal	bin/displaycode?section=prc&group=75001-76000&file=75020-	The Department of Water Resources shall give preference to proposals that satisfy the criteria specified in PRC §75026.(b)(1). §75028.(a) - the department shall defer to approved local project selection, and review projects only for consistency with the purposes of Section 75026. 2009 California Water Plan Volumes I and II
§10541. (e)(3)	aspx http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/cgi- bin/displaycode?section=wat&group=10001-11000&file=10540- 10543	California Watershed Portal