

2009 - 2010

ENGINEERING INVESTIGATION



GROUNDWATER CONDITIONS IN THE
SAN BERNARDINO VALLEY WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT



ENGINEERING INVESTIGATION

Bunker Hill Basin

2009-2010

For the period

2008-2009

March 2010

**Groundwater Conditions in the
San Bernardino Valley Water Conservation District**

**1630 W. Redlands Blvd.
Suite A
Redlands, CA 92373
(909) 793-2503
Fax (909) 793-0188**

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Clare Henry Day, President

Melody A. McDonald, Vice President

Manuel Aranda, Jr


Richard Corneille

Arnold L. Wright

David E. Raley

John Longville

R. Robert Neufeld, General Manager





Acknowledgments

The staff of San Bernardino Valley Water Conservation District would like to recognize the efforts of those agencies that contributed data for this Engineering Investigation. The cooperation received from the following agencies is gratefully acknowledged.

- **City of Colton**
- **East Valley Water District**
- **City of Riverside**
- **West Valley Water District**
- **United States Geological Survey, Santee, CA Office**
- **San Bernardino Valley Municipal Water District**
- **San Bernardino County Department of Flood Control**
- **Big Bear Grizzly**
- **City of San Bernardino**
- **Gage Canal Company**
- **City of Redlands**
- **Riverside Highland Water Company**
- **Western Municipal Water District**
- **Watermaster Support Services**
- **Elsinore Valley Municipal Water District/Meeks and Daley Water Company**
- **Redlands Daily Facts**



Figures	iii
Tables.....	iv
Appendices.....	v
1.0 <i>Executive Summary</i>	3
2.0 <i>Introduction</i>.....	11
2.1 <i>Purpose and Scope</i>.....	11
2.2 <i>Location, Topography and Climate</i>.....	14
2.3 <i>Definition of Terms</i>.....	17
2.4 <i>Sources of Data</i>	21
3.0 <i>Fall 2008, 2009 Groundwater Elevation Contours</i>	20
3.1 <i>Hydrographs for Key Wells in the Bunker Hill Basin</i>	22
4.0 <i>Task 1 - Annual Change in Storage (Fall 2008 to Fall 2009)</i>.....	27
4.1 <i>Hydrologic Sub-areas</i>.....	27
4.2 <i>Area and Storativity</i>.....	27
4.3 <i>Groundwater Level Elevation Changes</i>	27
4.4 <i>Change in Groundwater Storage</i>.....	28
5.0 <i>Task 2 - Accumulated Change in Storage (Fall 1993 to Fall 2009)</i>	30
6.0 <i>Task 3 - Total Groundwater Production for the Preceding Water Year (October 1, 2008 to September 30, 2009)</i>	32
7.0 <i>Task 4 - Estimate of the Annual Change in Storage for the Current Water Year (October 1, 2008 to September 30, 2009)</i>.....	35
8.0 <i>Task 5 - Estimate of the Annual Change in Storage for the Ensuing Water Year (October 1, 2009 to September 30, 2011)</i>.....	39



9.0 Task 6 - Average Annual Change in Storage for the Immediate Past Ten Water Years	39
10.0 Task 7 - Estimated Amount of Agricultural Water and Other Than Agricultural Water to be Withdrawn for the Ensuing Water Year (October 1, 2010 to September 30, 2011)	43
11.0 Task 8 - Estimated Amount of Water for Surface Distribution for the Ensuing Water Year (October 1, 2010 to September 30, 2011).....	46
12.0 Task 9 - Estimated Amount of Water for Replenishment of the Groundwater Supplies for the Ensuing Water Year (October 1, 2010 to September 30, 2011).....	48
13.0 General Findings	49
14.0 Conclusions.....	50
15.0 Financial Data	50



Figures

- Figure 1 Project Area Map**
- Figure 2 Bunker Hill Groundwater Subbasins, Faults
and Hydrograph Locations**
- Figure 3 Bunker Hill Basin and Water Agency Boundaries**
- Figure 4 Precipitation Trends & Station Locations**
- Figure 5 Water Elevation by Well Location with Contours Fall 2008**
- Figure 6 Water Elevation Contour Surface Fall 2008**
- Figure 7 Water Elevation by Well Location with Contours Fall 2009**
- Figure 8 Water Elevation Contour Surface Fall 2009**
- Figure 9 Groundwater Production in Bunker Hill Basin**
- Figure 10 Accumulated Change in Storage Bunker Hill Basin**
- Figure 11 Prediction Chart for Annual Change in Storage**
- Figure 12 Estimate of Production for Current Water Year**
- Figure 13 Estimate of Production for Ensuing Water Year**
- Figure 14 District Water Production by Agriculture and Non-Agriculture
Uses.**



Tables

- Table 1 Summary of Percentage of Normal Precipitation, 1984 to 2009**
- Table 2 Change in Groundwater Levels in Key Wells, Fall 2008 to Fall 2009**
- Table 3 Annual Change in Storage for Bunker Hill Basin, Fall 2008 to Fall 2009**
- Table 4 Accumulated Change in Storage for Bunker Hill Basin, 1989 to 2009**
- Table 5 Production in Sub-basins of Bunker Hill Basin, Preceding Water Year**
- Table 6 Estimates of Percentage of Normal Precipitation for Current Water Year**
- Table 7 Average Annual Change in Storage for Bunker Hill Basin, Fall 1999 to Fall 2009**
- Table 8 Summary of Surface Distribution Water for Bunker Hill Basin, 1984 to 2009**
- Table 9 2009-2010 Budgeted Profit and Loss**



Appendices

- Appendix A Water Level Elevations for Bunker Hill Basin**
- Appendix B Hydrographs for Key Wells**
- Appendix C Production Data for the Preceding Water Year**
- Appendix D Historic Annual Precipitation**



Introduction

The 2009-2010 Engineering Investigation is being presented with a number of changes compared to previous EI Reports. Thanks to the new part-time staff addition of Lisa Pierce, who holds advanced degrees in environmental and water resources planning and is a certified GIS instructor, much more effort was given towards researching, documenting, and graphically displaying surface and groundwater activities within the Bunker Hill Basin and specifically within the Water Conservation District's boundaries during the last water year. Ms. Pierce was able to share and help coordinate acquisition of water elevation and production data with various other San Bernardino Valley entities. Many thanks goes to the following agencies: San Bernardino Valley Municipal Water District (Valley), Steve Mains' Watermaster Support Services, the Cities of San Bernardino, Colton, Riverside, Rialto & Redlands, and East Valley Water District, West Valley Water District and Fontana Water Company as well as other entities who document well water withdrawal and water level events throughout the year. Her coordinating efforts in not only providing the more verified information, but also assisting others in formatting changes that will make applications of GIS production data, metadata, and graphical data more assessable for all future accounting and reports, promotes more interagency cooperation, and will improve increased information sharing in future years.

Other changes the reader will see in this report are:

This introduction has been inserted to provide a general overview of the report and the formatting and informational changes that have been made.

Figures and Tables have been inserted within the appropriate text areas, instead of being contained in separate tabbed sections.

More explanations have been provided to expound on the conclusions drawn from the various sections of the report, to assist the reader in understanding the engineering terminology and better synthesis of the outcomes.



Maps have been drawn with more visible color contrasts and with increased color differentiation to increase visibility and understanding. And the maps contents have been modified to graphically describe the associated texts.

Although the term "Water Year" was used within the previous reports to describe the annual time frames of the study periods, not all of the "Water Years" describe the same time frame. Various tables and information sources described "Water Years" as July 1 through the following year's June 30, while other periods included October 1 to September 30 of the following year. This report attempts to compare "apples to apples" by describing the Water Year as October 1 through to the following September 30.

In order to conserve paper and thus, water resources, this report has been printed double-sided.

Previous Engineering Investigation report versions presented the engineering analyses of the water supply issues of the Bunker Hill Basin and the Water Conservation District, but the resulting conclusions dealing with the financial results of the previous year's water related activities were never included. This year's edition contains a section dealing with the financial picture of spreading water and the associated costs.



1.0 Executive Summary

Article 1, Section 75560 of the California Water Code requires that a Water Conservation District that proposes to levy a groundwater charge “... shall annually cause to be made an engineering investigation and report upon groundwater conditions of the District.” In accordance with these requirements, the San Bernardino Valley Water Conservation District (District) must make the following findings and determinations as they relate to the ground and surface water conditions of the Bunker Hill Basin and those areas within the District boundary. Refer to **Figure 1** for locations. (Page 11)

- Task 1.** Annual change in storage for the Bunker Hill Basin for the preceding water year (Fall 2008 to Fall 2009);
- Task 2.** Accumulated change in storage of the Bunker Hill Basin as of the last day of the preceding water year (September 30, 2009);
- Task 3.** Total groundwater production from the Bunker Hill Basin for the preceding water year (October 1, 2008 - September 30, 2009);
- Task 4.** Estimate of the annual change in the Bunker Hill Basin storage for the current water year (October 1, 2009 - September 30, 2010);
- Task 5.** Estimate of the annual change in the Bunker Hill Basin storage for the ensuing water year (October 1, 2010 – September 30, 2011);
- Task 6.** Average annual change in Bunker Hill Basin storage for the immediate past ten water years (1998 - 2009);
- Task 7.** Estimated amount of agricultural water and other than agricultural water to be withdrawn from the groundwater supplies of the District for the ensuing water year (October 1, 2010 - September 30, 2011);
- Task 8.** Estimated amount of water necessary for surface distribution for the ensuing water year for the Bunker Hill Basin and the District (October 1, 2010 - September 30, 2011); and
- Task 9.** The amount of water that is necessary for the replenishment of the groundwater supplies of the Bunker Hill Basin and the District for the ensuing water year (October 1, 2010 - September 30, 2011).



To make the findings and determinations listed above, District staff researched available hydro geologic, water well production and (static water) sounding records and engineering data for the Bunker Hill Basin. These data were compiled and analyzed and a predictive relationship between precipitation, production, and change in basin storage was developed. This relationship, based on empirical data, enables the prediction of change in storage, given certain annual production and precipitation levels. In addition, annual and accumulated change in storage values were calculated based on historic water level changes throughout the Bunker Hill Basin.

Based on 20 measuring stations, precipitation throughout the contributing watershed was 105 percent of normal for the period October 1, 2008 to September 31, 2009. The amount of groundwater stored in the Bunker Hill Basin increased by 32,000 acre-feet.

The required findings for the 2009 Engineering Investigation are provided below. Each of the tasks is further explained in the main body of the report. Throughout this document a positive sign (+) denotes an increase in stored groundwater or groundwater level elevation while a negative sign (-) denotes a decrease in stored groundwater or lowering of groundwater level elevation.



**Summary of Findings for the 2009-2010 Engineering Investigation Report,
Covering the Water Year of October 1, 2008 to September 30, 2009**

- Task 1.** Annual change in storage for the Bunker Hill Basin for the preceding water year (Fall 2009 groundwater levels)

Change in storage between Fall 2008 and Fall 2009 (see Table 3)

+32,000 acre-feet (increase)

The amount of water stored in the Basin increased by 32,000 acre-feet between 2008 and 2009.

- Task 2.** Accumulated change in storage of the Bunker Hill Basin as of the last day of the preceding water year (September 30, 2009)

Accumulated change in storage between Fall 1993 and Fall 2009¹ (see Table 4)

-330,000 acre-feet (decrease)

The amount of potential water storage space in the Fall of 2009 was 330,000 acre-feet less than in the Fall of 1993. (See footnote 1 below.)

- Task 3.** Total groundwater production from the Bunker Hill Basin for the preceding water year (October 1, 2008 – September 30, 2009) (see Table 5)

223,612 acre-feet

¹ In the District's Engineering Investigation (EI) prior to 1993-94, the accumulated change in storage was based on the basin storage in 1984 as considered full. A concern arose regarding the flooding of basements due to high groundwater levels in the Pressure Zone of the Bunker Hill Basin. Therefore, in response to the City of San Bernardino's comments on accumulated change in storage, all EI's since that time are based on 1993 basin storage levels considered as full.



-
- Task 4.** Estimate of the annual change in the Bunker Hill Basin storage for the current water year (October 1, 2009 - September 30, 2010)

-13,488 acre-feet (decrease)

The amount of water in the Basin is estimated to decrease by 13,488 acre-feet during the current water year.

- Task 5.** Estimate of the annual change in the Bunker Hill Basin storage for the ensuing water year (October 1, 2010 - September 30, 2011)

-1,594 acre-feet (decrease)

The amount of water in the Basin is estimated to decrease by 1,594 acre-feet during the ensuing water year.

- Task 6.** Average annual change in Bunker Hill Basin storage for the immediate past 10 water years (1999 - 2009) shows a continual decrease, although less in magnitude than previous years:

-18,200 acre-feet (decrease)

Estimated amount of agricultural water and other than agricultural water to be withdrawn from the groundwater supplies of the District for the ensuing water year (October 1, 2010 - September 30, 2011)



-
- Task 7.** Estimated amount of agricultural water (Agg Water) that will be withdrawn from the groundwater supplies within the District boundary for the ensuing water year (October 1, 2010 - September 30, 2011)

13,963 acre-feet

Estimated amount of other than agricultural water (Non-Agg Water) that will be withdrawn from the groundwater supplies of the District for the ensuing water year (October 1, 2010 - September 30, 2011)

87,024 acre-feet

- Task 8.** Estimated amount of water necessary for surface distribution for the ensuing water year for the Bunker Hill Basin and the District (October 1, 2010 - September 30, 2011)

Estimated amount of water necessary for surface distribution for the ensuing water year (October 1, 2010 - September 30, 2011) for the Bunker Hill Basin (Table 8, 2009 Average was used)

69,337 acre-feet

Estimated amount of water necessary for surface distribution for the ensuing water year (October 1, 2010 - September 30, 2011) within the District boundary

58,118 acre-feet

- Task 9.** The amount of water necessary from all sources, including natural recharge, to maintain constant groundwater supplies in the Bunker Hill Basin for the ensuing water year (October 1, 2010 - September 30, 2011)



The amount of water necessary from all sources, including natural recharge, to maintain constant groundwater supplies in the Bunker Hill Basin for the ensuing water year (October 1, 2010 - September 30, 2011)

178,536 acre-feet

The amount of water necessary from all sources, including natural recharge, to maintain constant groundwater supplies within the District boundary for the ensuing water year (October 1, 2010 - September 30, 2011)

52,560 acre-feet

The amount of water necessary from all sources, including natural recharge, to bring the basin back to "full" in the ensuing water year (October 1, 2010 - September 30, 2011)

517,164 acre-feet

In addition to the above findings, Section 75505 of the California Water Code requires that a finding be made as to the amount of water necessary to be replaced in the intake areas of the groundwater basins within the District to prevent the landward movement of salt water into the fresh groundwater body, or to prevent subsidence of the land within the District. Because of its location and the elevations of its water table (lowest was at least 600-feet above mean sea level – MSL), the Bunker Hill Basin is not subject to salt-water intrusion and the current groundwater levels will not result in any significant land subsidence.

Section 75540 of the California Water Code requires that the District Board establish a zone or zones where a groundwater charge is to be implemented. The Code specifically states that a single zone may include the entire District and in May 1993 the Board established the entire District as one zone. This determination may be amended in the



future, but lacking any evidence to the contrary, in the 2009-10 year the entire District will remain as a single zone in regard to any groundwater charges.

Section 75561 of the California Water Code further requires the Engineering Investigation to include a finding related to the amount of water the District is obligated by contract to purchase. At this time the District has no contractual obligation to purchase water for the replenishment of the groundwater supplies. The District did contract with Valley (Municipal Water District, a State Water Contractor), as a contributing valley water entity, to spread 2,000 acre-feet of DWR excess allocation water within the District's spreading basins, using District's money reserves for payment.

Based on the results of the 2009 Engineering Investigation, the San Bernardino Valley Water Conservation District finds that:

- Due to the imbalance between groundwater recharge and production since 1993, the Bunker Hill Basin's storage is 330,000 acre-feet below that which is considered full for purposes of this Investigation.
- During the ensuing water year (October 1, 2010 - September 30, 2011), the Bunker Hill Basin can be recharged, from all sources, with 517,164 acre-feet of water. This recharge quantity is needed to maintain the 1993 storage level that is considered full.
- The District should continue to take the necessary steps to enhance its capability to conduct recharge operations, which includes consideration of new, or maintenance and repair of existing, diversion facilities, canals, dikes, basins, roads, and other water recharge facilities. These improvements are required to ensure that the increasing demands on the Basin, especially during drought periods, can be met.
- The District has begun collaborative construction efforts with Valley to improve the capacities and delivery capabilities of the District's Upper Santa Ana River diverted water conveyance canals and spreading basins. Valley is the lead on the project. An initial portion of the overall project, the upgrade of the Cuttle Weir has already been completed. An investigation and design contract for the improvements is currently underway. The results of the improvements will be



increased handling (up to 500 cfs) of Seven Oaks Dam (SOD) emergency water release and the increased capability to distribute the river water through a larger portion of the water shed basin.

- The District will be implementing new plans and goals as a result of its nearly completed Strategic Plan for the District's future.



2.0 *Introduction*

2.1 Purpose and Scope

The San Bernardino Valley Water Conservation District (District) was created by popular vote in 1931 for the purpose of managing the recharge activities that were previously conducted by the Water Conservation Association. The Water Conservation Association was incorporated in 1909 and had been diverting flows from the Santa Ana River for groundwater recharge since 1911. Currently the District has ownership, as well as easements and/or use of properties owned by the Bureau of Land Management (BLM), on a total of 3,735 acres within the Santa Ana River and Mill Creek Wash areas. The District boundary covers an area of approximately 50,000 acres, which represents about 60 percent of the Bunker Hill Basin. **Figure 1** displays the project area map for the Engineering Investigation. It includes the District boundary along with its location relative to the Interstate and State highways.

Article 1, Section 75560 of the California Water Code requires that a Water Conservation District that proposes to levy a groundwater charge "... shall annually cause to be made an engineering investigation and report upon groundwater conditions of the District." In accordance with these requirements, the San Bernardino Valley Water Conservation District (District) must make the following findings and determinations as they relate to the ground and surface water conditions of the Bunker Hill Basin and those areas within the District boundary.

- Task 1.** Annual change in storage for the Bunker Hill Basin for the preceding water year (Fall 2008 to Fall 2009);
- Task 2.** Accumulated change in storage of the Bunker Hill Basin as of the last day of the preceding water year (September 30, 2009);
- Task 3.** Total groundwater production from the Bunker Hill Basin for the preceding water year (October 1, 2008 – September 30, 2009);




Figure 1



-
- Task 4.** Estimate of the annual change in the Bunker Hill Basin storage for the current water year (October 1, 2009 - September 30, 2010);
- Task 5.** Estimate of the annual change in the Bunker Hill Basin storage for the ensuing water year (October 1, 2010 – September 30, 2011);
- Task 6.** Average annual change in Bunker Hill Basin storage for the immediate past ten water years (1999 - 2009);
- Task 7.** Estimated amount of agricultural water and other than agricultural water to be withdrawn from the groundwater supplies of the District for the ensuing water year (October 1, 2010 – September 30, 2011);
- Task 8.** Estimated amount of water necessary for surface distribution for the ensuing water year for the Bunker Hill Basin and the District (October 1, 2010 – September 30, 2011); and
- Task 9.** The amount of water that is necessary for the replenishment of the groundwater supplies of the Bunker Hill Basin and the District for the ensuing water year (October 1, 2010 – September 30, 2011).

To make the findings and determinations listed above, District staff researched available hydrogeologic, precipitation, water well production and (static water) sounding records, and engineering data for the Bunker Hill Basin and surrounding areas. These data were compiled and analyzed and a predictive relationship between precipitation, production, and change in basin storage was adapted from similar relationships developed by Geoscience Support Services in the preparation of previous Engineering Investigations. This relationship, based on empirical data, enables the prediction of change in storage, given certain annual production and precipitation levels. In addition, annual and accumulated change in storage was calculated based on historic water level changes throughout the Bunker Hill Basin.




2.2 Location, Topography and Climate

The Bunker Hill Basin is located at the northern-most end of the Santa Ana River Watershed and receives all the surface water runoff from the headwaters of the Santa Ana River, Mill Creek, a portion of the flow from the Lytle Creek area, and smaller periodic flows from Plunge, City, Devil Canyon, Cajon and Elder Creeks. It is part of the inland valley called the San Bernardino Valley located in San Bernardino County, California and encompasses approximately 89,600 acres. Once past the Bunker Hill Basin, the Santa Ana River continues to flow southwesterly for approximately 60 miles until it reaches the Pacific Ocean.

The Bunker Hill Basin is bounded on the northwest by the San Gabriel Mountains, on the northeast by the San Bernardino Mountains, on the south by the Crafton Hills and the Badlands, and on the southwest by a low east-facing escarpment produced by the San Jacinto fault. These Fault expressions are presented on **Figure 2**.

The major streams providing inflows and outflows for the Bunker Hill Basin are also provided on **Figure 2**. The United States Geological Survey (USGS) administers stream flow gauging stations on all of these waterways except Mill Creek. Mill Creek flow is assumed to be 56 percent of the Santa Ana River flow based on historic data. Total diversions for direct use and recharge on the Santa Ana River may exceed the stream flows due to measurements by different agencies.

The Bunker Hill Basin is also expressed by a large group of City and Water Agencies that are working to increasingly collaborate for improved transparency. **Figure 3** presents an overview of the Water Agency Jurisdictions with an overlay of City boundaries.



Bunker Hill Groundwater Subbasins, Faults and Hydrograph Locations
2009 - 2010
Engineering Investigation Report

State Plane
NAD 83, Zone V, feet
10M DEM DWR
Data Sources:
SBVWCD, CASIL, SBVMWD

Scale:
0 0.5 1 2 3 Miles


60

Engineering Investigation
March 2010

Source: SBVWCD GIS
L.Pierce

Map 2

M:SBVWCD2010ENP2010Maps\Fig02Subbasins&Faults\HydroGraphs.mxd (03/10)



Bunker Hill Basin and Water Agency Boundaries
2009 - 2010

Engineering Investigation Report

Engineering Investigation
March 2010

Source: SBVWCD GIS
L. Pierce



Figure 3




The climate in the region is a semi-arid Mediterranean-type characterized by long dry summers and relatively short mild winters. The annual average temperature in the valley is 62° F, with extremes ranging from as low as 18° F to as high as 116° F. Precipitation in the region is highly variable depending on location and elevation. Historical annual averages range from 11 inches near Loma Linda Fire Department located at the southwest end of the basin to over 41 inches at Lake Arrowhead located at the upper end of the mountain watershed contributing flow to the basin. Precipitation data provided by the Water Resources Division for 21 stations are summarized in **Table 1** and displayed on **Figure 4**.

2.3 Definition of Terms

For the purposes of this report, the following terms are defined:

- ◆ **Bunker Hill Basin** - The Bunker Hill Basin is the groundwater basin that underlies the San Bernardino Valley. By strict definition the Bunker Hill Basin is separate from the Lytle Groundwater Basin, but receives groundwater underflow from the Lytle Basin. For the purposes of this report, the definition of the Bunker Hill Basin is extended to include the Lytle Basin.
- ◆ **Production** - The term production includes extraction of water by groundwater pumping from wells and surface diversions from the Santa Ana River, Mill Creek, City Creek, Devil Canyon Creek, Cajon Creek, Plunge Creek, and Lytle Creek. 2010 Engineering Investigation utilizes the October to September study time frames throughout the enclosed review and analyses.
- ◆ **Current Water Year** - As per the California Water Code, the current water year is the period October 1, 2009 through September 30, 2010.
- ◆ **Ensuing Water Year** - As per the California Water Code, the ensuing water year is the period October 1, 2010 through September 30, 2011.

Preceding Water Year - As per the California Water Code, the preceding water year is the period October 1, 2008 through September 30, 2009. Note that previous Engineering Investigation Reports defined the water year as July 1, (preceding year) to June 30, (current year) to coincide with the biyearly District billing periods and some other data gathering agencies. Rate adjustments of groundwater fees (if any) could be effected on July 1. However the more conventional water year definition begins October 1, (preceding year) and ends September 30, (current year). Not all data were collected and compared using the same time frames and as a result, the comparisons of precipitation, stream runoff spreading, water withdrawals, and associated activities did not compare "apples to apples" in the analyses.



**Precipitation Trends and Station Locations
2009-2010**
Engineering Investigation Report

Engineering Investigation
March 2010

Source: SBVWCD GIS
L.Pierce



Figure 4



The 2009-3.1 Hydrographs for Key Wells in the Bunker Hill Basin

To provide an historical perspective of groundwater levels for the Bunker Hill Basin, hydrographs for 21 wells located throughout the basin are shown in **Appendix B**. The locations of these wells are shown on **Figure 2** and the annual changes in water level from Fall 2008 to Fall 2009 are shown in **Table 2**.

2.4 Sources of Data

Data used in the development of this engineering investigation were obtained from a variety of sources including public and private agencies. The data analysis tasks involved tabulating and summarizing information from documented and undocumented reports, public and private files, and personal communication with local, State, and Federal agencies. Some of the more important data sources are listed below. Data for Fall 2009 groundwater elevations and preceding water year (October 1, 2008 to September 30, 2009) production were obtained from the primary water purveyors and its California DWR Contractor, San Bernardino Valley Municipal Water District (Valley) in the Bunker Hill Basin including:

- City of Colton
- City of Loma Linda
- City of Redlands
- City of Rialto
- City of Riverside
- City of San Bernardino
- East Valley Water District
- Elsinore Valley Municipal Water District/Meeks and Daley Water Company
- Gage Canal Company
- Riverside – Highland Water Company
- San Bernardino Valley Municipal Water District
- Watermaster Support Services – Steve E. Mains
- West Valley Water District
- United States Geological Survey, , CA Office

Change in Groundwater Levels in Key Wells

Fall 2008 to Fall 2009

State Well Number	Well Name	Owner Or Measuring Agency	Fall 2008	Fall 2009	Difference
			Depth To Water [ft]	Depth To Water [ft]	
1S3W06H04S	PL-9A	East Valley Water District	201.6	216.6	-15.0
1N3W30N01S	PL-41	East Valley Water District	299.0	305.6	-6.6
1S2W21E01S	Maguet #2	Redlands, City of	50.0	49.0	1.0
1S2W21D01S	E. Lugonia #6	Redlands, City of	59.0	58.0	1.0
1S3W35G09S	Well #13	Redlands, City of	65.0	69.0	-4.0
1S3W21H06S	Well #30A	Redlands, City of	192.0	199.0	-7.0
1S4W24K01S	Well #34	Redlands, City of	189.0	185.0	4.0
1S4W23A02S	26-1	Riverside, City of - Gage Canal Company	158.0	152.0	6.0
1S4W10N06S	Mill & D Street Well	San Bernardino, City of	85.0	89.5	-4.5
1N4W36G003	16th & Sierra Way	San Bernardino, City of	266.4	265.3	1.1
1N4W27M002S	27th Street Well	San Bernardino, City of	302.8	304.8	-2.0
1S4W02K08S	Antil Well #6	San Bernardino, City of	177.5	198.5	-21.0
1N4W32N01S	Baseline Well	San Bernardino, City of	248.8	256.0	-7.3
1S2W07B01S	SBVWCD #1 (7B01)	San Bernardino Valley WCD	278.9	253.6	25.3
1S2W07K01S	SBVWCD #2 (7K01)	San Bernardino Valley WCD	216.4	204.3	12.1
1S3W12J01S	SBVWCD #3 (12J01)	San Bernardino Valley WCD	225.8	226.8	-1.0
1S3W11H01S	SBVWCD #4 (11H01)	San Bernardino Valley WCD	181.2	195.2	-14.0
1N5W23Q01S	2/Lower 7	West Valley Water District	166.0	254.0	-88.0
1N5W36H04S	7/Lord 7	West Valley Water District	N/A	N/A	N/A
1N5W25E001S	05A/Lower 5	West Valley Water District	190.0	261.0	-71.0



Data regarding historic diversions from the Santa Ana River, Mill Creek, Plunge Creek, City Creek, Devil Canyon Creek, Cajon Creek, and Lytle Creek were obtained from the following sources:


- San Bernardino Valley Water Conservation District (acting as Project Manager for the Cooperative Water Project - Exchange Plan)
- Western Municipal Water District
- City of San Bernardino
- Watermaster Support Services – Steve E. Mains
- San Bernardino Valley Municipal Water District (Valley)
- Cities of Redlands, San Bernardino, others
- East Valley Water District

Historic precipitation data were obtained from the following sources:

- San Bernardino County Department of Transportation and Flood Control
- Redlands Daily Facts
- Big Bear Grizzly
- Big Bear Water District
- The Weather Warehouse

3.0 Fall 2008 Groundwater Elevation Contours

The District, the Western Municipal Water District, and the primary water purveyors in the Bunker Hill Basin provided Fall 2009 water level data. Static groundwater elevations for Fall 2009 for wells throughout the Bunker Hill Basin are compiled in **Appendix A**. These Water elevation locations and contours using 182 wells using a Geographic Information System (GIS) are plotted on **Figures 5 & 7** for Fall 2008 and Fall 2009, respectively. The water elevation values were used to derive an interpolated surface for the extent of the Bunker Hill Basin. For purposes of comparison, Fall 2008 and Fall 2009 static groundwater elevation surface contours are provided on **Figures 6 & 8** Fall 2008 and Fall 2009, respectively..



Engineering Investigation
March 2010


Source: SBVWCD GIS
L Pierce

Figure 5

Water Elevation By Well Location with Contours Fall 2008
2009- 2010

Engineering Investigation Report

SBVWCD2010ENI2010Maps\Fig05_WellElev2008.mxd (03/10)



Engineering Investigation
March 2010


Source: SBVWCD GIS
L. Pierce

Water Elevation Contour Surface Fall 2008 2009-2010 Engineering Investigation Report

State Plane
NAD 83, Zone V, feet
10M DEM DWR
Data Sources:
SBVWCD Water Elevation
2008-2009
Watermaster Services,
All City Water Agencies
and SB VWD



Figure 6



Engineering Investigation
March 2010

Source: SBVWCD GIS
L Pierce



Figure 7

Water Elevation By Well Location
with Contours Fall 2009
2009- 2010

Engineering Investigation Report




Figure 8

Engineering Investigation
March 2010

Source: SBVWCD GIS
L. Pierce

Water Elevation Contour Surface Fall 2009 2009 - 2010 Engineering Investigation Report

State Plane
NAD 83 - Zone V, feet
10M DEM DWR
Data Sources:
SBVWCD Water Elevation
2008-2009
Watermaster Services,
All City Water Agencies
and SB VMWD

0 0.5 1 2 3 Miles



4.0 Task 1 - Annual Change in Storage (Fall 2008 to Fall 2009)

4.1 *Hydrologic Sub-areas*

Using a Geographic Information System, the average groundwater elevation changes were determined for each of the eight hydrologic sub-areas shown on **Figure 2** (Page 14) and listed below.

- Bunker Hill I - Southwest of Interstate 215
- Bunker Hill I - Northeast of Interstate 215
- Bunker Hill II - West of Mentone Fault
- Bunker Hill II - East of Mentone Fault
- Lytle Basin - Southeast of Barrier J
- Lytle Basin - Northwest of Barrier J
- Pressure Zone - North of Santa Ana Wash
- Pressure Zone - Santa Ana Wash

Due to variations of changes in groundwater level elevation, the Bunker Hill II - East of Mentone Fault was further subdivided into storage units North of Redlands Fault and Southeast of Redlands Fault.

4.2 *Area and Storativity*

Each sub-area and storage unit was digitized to estimate its storage area. Average storativity for each sub-area was determined based on data from Hardt and Hutchinson (1980). Both of these values are shown in **Table 3**. Storativity values ranged from 0.02 for the Pressure Zone to 0.13 for the Lytle Basin - Northwest of Barrier J and Bunker Hill II - East of the Mentone Fault.

4.3 *Groundwater Level Elevation Changes*

In order to determine the annual change in storage for the Bunker Hill Basin, Fall 2009 groundwater level elevation data were compared with the same from Fall 2008. Measurements for 233 wells were available for both periods and the differences are provided in **Appendix A**.



Average changes in groundwater levels were determined by averaging the changes for all wells in each of the eight sub-areas and storage units as shown in **Table 3**.

4.4 Change in Groundwater Storage

The total annual change in storage for the Bunker Hill Basin was determined by summing the changes from each sub-area. Changes in groundwater storage for the period Fall 2009 to Fall 2010 for the Bunker Hill Basin were calculated using the following formula:

$$Q_{\Delta \text{storage}} = \sum A_i \times S_i \times \Delta h_i$$

where:

- $Q_{\Delta \text{storage}}$ = Annual change in storage for the Bunker Hill Basin, (acre-feet)
- A_i = Area of sub-area and storage unit i , (acres)
- S_i = Storativity of sub-area and storage unit i
- Δh_i = Average water level change of sub-area and storage unit i , (feet)

As shown in **Table 3**, the change in groundwater stored in the Bunker Hill Basin between Fall 2008 and Fall 2009 was increased by 32,000 acre-feet .

Annual Change in Storage for Bunker Hill Basin

Fall 2008 to Fall 2009

Sub-area	[1] Avg. Fall 2008 Water Depth* [ft.]	[2] Avg 2009 Water Depth.* [ft.]	[3] Annual Change in Water Level* [ft.]	[4] Area [acres]	[5] Storativity (S)	[6] Annual Change in Storage** [acre-ft]
Bunker Hill I - Southwest of Interstate 215	79.0750	85.3167	-6.24	11,714	0.09	-6,580
Bunker Hill I - Northeast of Interstate 215	219.5833	220.0056	-0.42	7,795	0.11	-362
Bunker Hill II - West of Mentone Fault	81.8133	81.9667	-0.15	35,206	0.06	-324
Bunker Hill II - East of Mentone Fault North	124.6367	100.4444	24.19	8,584	0.13	26,997
Bunker Hill II - East of Mentone Fault South	240.1161	251.6875	-11.57	2,507	0.13	-3,772
Lytle Basin - Southeast of Barrier J	265.8611	138.3778	127.48	5,237	0.07	46,734
Lytle Basin - Northwest of Barrier J	97.6667	96.9400	0.73	1,924	0.13	182
Pressure Zone - North of Santa Ana Wash	124.1786	146.3607	-22.18	11,920	0.02	-5,288
Pressure Zone - Santa Ana Wash	84.6923	102.3773	-17.69	6,686	0.02	-2,365
Total				91,573		932,000

[1], [2], [3] Based on average changes in water level within each Sub-area

[4] Estimated using GIS

[5] Based on data from Hardt and Hutchinson (1980). S , storativity: The amount of water stored or released per unit area of aquifer given unit head change.

[6] = [3] x [4] x [5]

*A positive sign denotes an increase in water level and a negative sign represents a decline in water level.

** A positive sign denotes an increase in storage and a negative sign represents a decline of storage.



5.0 Task 2 - Accumulated Change in Storage (Fall 1993 to Fall 2009)

For purposes of this report, the accumulated change in storage as of the last day of the preceding water year (September 30, 2009) was based on the changes in water levels between Fall 1993, when the accumulated basin change in storage was considered "zero", and the Fall of 2009.² The accumulated change in storage as of September 30, 2009 was determined by algebraically adding the change in storage for the preceding calculated year (July 1, 2008 to June 30, 2009 of +32,000 acre-feet), determined in Section 4.4, to the accumulated change in storage as of June 30, 2008 (-362,000). The result of this calculation shows an increase in stored water and an accumulated decrease in water storage capacity of -330,000 acre-feet.

Table 4 summarizes the accumulated change in storage of the Bunker Hill Basin for the period 1988 to 2009 based on 1993 as the "zero accumulated storage year". As would be expected, stored underground water generally increases with above average rainfall and decreases with normal and below average rainfall.

² In the District's Engineering Investigation (EI) prior to 1993-94, the accumulated change in storage was based on the basin storage in 1984 as considered full. A concern arose regarding the flooding of basements due to high groundwater levels in the Pressure Zone of the Bunker Hill Basin. Therefore, in response to the City of San Bernardino's comments on accumulated change in storage, all EI's since that time are based on 1993 basin storage levels considered as full.

Accumulated Change in Storage for Bunker Hill Basin *1989 to 2009 (Based on "Zero Year" of 1993)*

Year	Accumulated Storage [acre-ft]
1989	-58,000
1990	-170,700
1991	-196,000
1992	-191,000
1993	0
1994	-50,000
1995	41,100
1996	-43,100
1997	-75,500
1998	40,400
1999	-85,700
2000	-131,100
2001	-212,200
2002	-301,500
2003	-338,800
2004	-406,900
2005	-183,100
2006	-245,500
2007	-359,400
2008	-362,000
2009	-330,000

Note: A negative sign indicates a decline in storage and a positive sign represents an increase in storage.



6.0 **Task 3 - Total Groundwater Production for the Preceding Water Year (October 1, 2008 to September 30, 2009)**


Production data for the preceding water year (October 1, 2008 to September 30, 2009) for the Bunker Hill Basin were obtained from the primary water purveyors as listed in Section 2.4. Production data for all wells, including those owned by some smaller water agencies were included if data were available from the Western - San Bernardino Watermaster, Western Municipal Water District, and semiannual billing statements issued by the SBVWCD respectively.

Appendix C shows the production for each groundwater well in the Bunker Hill Basin for the period October 2008 through September 2009. As summarized on the last page of the appendix, groundwater production from the Bunker Hill Basin for the preceding water year was approximately 223,612 acre-feet. **Table 5** summarizes the Bunker Hill Basin groundwater production for each of the sub-areas defined in Section 4.1.

Groundwater production within the Bunker Hill Basin during the period October 2008 through September 2009 is shown on **Figure 9**. The Pressure Zone has the greatest density of higher producing facilities with pockets of substantial production scattered throughout the rest of the basin. **Figure 9** depicts the monthly groundwater production values for each subbasin using the average of 201 wells.

Production in Sub-basins of Bunker Hill Basin *Preceding Water Year (October 2008 to September 2009)*

Sub-area	Production October 2008 to September 2009 [acre-ft]
Bunker Hill I - Southwest of Interstate 215	28,604
Bunker Hill I - Northeast of Interstate 215	19,035
Bunker Hill II - West of Mentone Fault	85,969
Bunker Hill II - East of Mentone Fault, North	27,084
Bunker Hill II - East of Mentone Fault, South	0
Lyle Basin - Southeast of Barrier J	12,788
Lyle Basin - Northwest of Barrier J	4,698
Pressure Zone - North of Santa Ana Wash	29,107
Pressure Zone - Santa Ana Wash	16,327
Unknown	0
Total	223,622



Engineering Investigation
March 2010

Groundwater Production -Bunker Hill Basin Subbasins
October 2008 - September 2009 (Water Year)
2009 - 2010

Engineering Investigation Report

State Plane
NAD 83, Zone V, feet
10M DEM DWR
Data Sources:
SBVWCD
201 Wells Production summary



Figure 9



7.0 **Task 4 - Estimate of the Annual Change in Storage for the Current Water Year (October 1, 2009 to September 30, 2010)**

To estimate annual change in storage for the current water year, a multiple regression analysis was performed for the period between 1991-92 and 2004-05 for three parameters.

- Annual Change in Storage
- Precipitation
- Production


In Engineering Investigations (EI) prior to 1998, data for the period 1982 calendar year through 1991 calendar year were also utilized in the regression analysis. The only production data available for this time frame were based on a calendar year period instead of the June to July period required in the EI. Since 1991-92, more complete and accurate production data for the July to June period have become available as the District has compiled detailed information for its EI. Since 1998, the regression analysis has not included pre-1991 data to more accurately represent June (now October) through July (now September) production.

Annual change in storage for the current water year is estimated using the following relationship between change in storage, precipitation, production, and the calculated regression coefficients. The accumulated change in storage is shown in **Figure 10**.


A nomograph, constructed using the above equation, is shown on **Figure 11**. Through the use of this chart or the equation above, annual change in storage can be estimated for a given set of annual precipitation and production values. The precipitation used in the nomograph is based on the average of the representative Bunker Hill Basin drainage area stations listed in **Table 6**.

The average annual precipitation for eight of the ten stations with recent data is approximately 22.5 inches (**Table 6**), while the total for the preceding water year was 23.5 inches (105 percent of normal). Historic annual precipitation values are plotted in **Appendix D** for these eight stations and twelve other local stations.

Accumulated Change in Storage for Bunker Hill Basin 1987 to 2009 (Based on "Zero Year" of 1993)



Prediction Chart for Annual Change in Storage Current and Ensuing Water Years



Assumptions for Ensuing Water Year
 Precipitation: 22.4 inches (100% of normal)
 Production: 23.9,245 acre-ft (see Figure 12)

* Based on Big Bear Dam, Devore CDF, Lake Arrowhead, Mentone CDF, Redlands County Club, San Bernardino County Hospital, Santa Anna Powerhouse #3, and Yucaipa CDF.

Change in Storage = $-221,564 + 8,171 \text{ Production} + 0.125 \text{ Production}^2$ ($R^2 = 0.88$)



Table 6 shows that for the period between October 1, 2008 and March 31, 2009, precipitation was 270 percent of normal for the eight stations with data. For the remainder of the water year, April 1 to September 30, 2009, the rainfall averaged 24% of the long term average. Annually, precipitation for the 2008-09 water year averaged 99%. For purposes of this report, it was assumed that precipitation for the ensuing water year (October 1, 2010 to September 2011) would be average. Therefore, precipitation for the ensuing water year (October 1, 2010 to September 2011) was estimated to be 100 percent of normal or 22.4 inches of rainfall (1.00×22.4).

Based on these assumptions, the estimated production for the current water year will be approximately 239,972 acre-feet as shown on **Figure 12**. Using this result on **Figure 11**, an estimated change in storage for the current water year (October 1, 2009 to September 30, 2010) of -13,488 acre-feet was determined.


8.0 Task 5 - Estimate of the Annual Change in Storage for the Ensuing Water Year (October 1, 2009 to September 30, 2010)

The annual change in storage for the ensuing water year (October 1, 2010 to September 30, 2011) was estimated using the same method as described in Section 7.0. It was assumed that precipitation for the ensuing water year would be 100 percent of normal or 22.4 inches. Based on this assumption, the estimated production for the ensuing water year will be approximately 239,124 acre-feet as shown on **Figure 13**. Again, using this result in the nomograph shown on **Figure 11**, the estimated annual change in storage for the ensuing water year (October 1, 2010 to September 30, 2011) is -1,594 acre-feet.

9.0 Task 6 - Average Annual Change in Storage for the Immediate Past Ten Water Years


Table 7 shows the average annual change in storage for the immediate past ten water years (Fall 1999 to Fall 2009) using the same method as described in Section 4.0. By summing the average annual change in storage for each sub-area, a total average annual change in storage for the Bunker Hill Basin for the immediate past ten water years was determined to be -18,200 acre-feet.

Estimate of Production for Current Water Year (July 2008 To June 2009)



*Based on Big Bear Dam, Devore CDF, Lake Arrowhead, Mentone CDF, Redlands Country Club, San Bernardino County Hospital, Santa Ana Powerhouse #3, and Yucaipa CDF.

Estimate of Production for Ensuing Water Year (October 2010 To September 2011)



*Based on Big Bear Dam, Devore CDF, Lake Arrowhead, Mentone CDF, Redlands Country Club, San Bernardino County Hospital, Santa Ana Powerhouse #3, and Yucaipa CDF.

Figure 13

Average Annual Change in Storage for Bunker Hill Basin
Fall 1999 to Fall 2009
(The Immediate Past 10 Water Years)

Sub-area	[1] Average Change in Water Level* [ft]	[2] Area [acres]	[3] Storativity (S)	[4] Average Annual Change in Storage** [acre-ft]
Bunker Hill I - Southwest of Barstow Freeway	-4.64	11,714	0.09	-4,783
Bunker Hill I - Northeast of Barstow Freeway	-3.30	7,795	0.11	-2,830
Bunker Hill II - West of Mentone Fault	-8.62	35,206	0.06	-17,905
Bunker Hill II - East of Mentone Fault	-1.48	11,091	0.13	-2,134
Lytle Basin - Southeast of Barrier J	12.44	5,237	0.07	4,560
Lytle Basin - Northwest of Barrier J	11.60	1,924	0.13	2,901
Pressure Zone - North of Santa Ana Wash	14.54	11,920	0.02	3,466
Pressure Zone - Santa Ana Wash	-10.70	6,686	0.02	-1,431
Total =				-18,700

[1] Based on average annual changes in water level within each Sub-area over last 10 years.

[2] Estimated using GIS.

[3] Based on data from Hardt and Hutchinson (1980). S storativity: The amount of water stored or released per unit area of aquifer given unit head change.

[4] = [1] x [2] x [3]

* A positive sign denotes an increase in water level and a negative sign represents a decline in water level.

** A positive sign denotes an increase in storage and a negative sign represents a decline in storage.



10.0 Task 7 - Estimated Amount of Agricultural Water and Other Than Agricultural Water to be Withdrawn for the Ensuing Water Year (October 1, 2010 to September 30, 2011)

The estimated amount of agricultural water and other than agricultural water to be withdrawn within the District for the ensuing water year (October 1, 2010 to September 30, 2011) was based on the following equations:

$$Q_{agr(10-11)} = Q_{agr(08-09)} \times \left[\left(Q_{total(10-11)} - Q_{surf(10-11)} \right) / \left(Q_{total(08-09)} - Q_{surf(08-09)} \right) \right]$$

and

$$Q_{non-agr(10-11)} = Q_{non-agr(08-09)} \times \left[\left(Q_{total(10-11)} - Q_{surf(10-11)} \right) / \left(Q_{total(08-09)} - Q_{surf(08-09)} \right) \right]$$

where:

- $Q_{agr(10-11)}$ = Agricultural use within the District for the ensuing water year, acre-ft
- $Q_{agr(08-09)}$ = Agricultural use within the District for the preceding water year, acre-ft (Appendix C)
- $Q_{total(10-11)}$ = Production (including surface diversion) from the Bunker Hill Basin for the ensuing water year, acre-ft (Figure 13)
- $Q_{total(08-09)}$ = Production (including surface diversion) from the Bunker Hill Basin for the preceding water year, acre-ft (Appendix C)
- $Q_{non-agr(10-11)}$ = All other uses within the District for the ensuing water year, acre-ft
- $Q_{non-agr(08-09)}$ = All other uses within the District for the preceding water year, acre-ft (Appendix C)
- $Q_{surf(10-11)}$ = Surface diversions from the Bunker Hill Basin for the ensuing water year, acre-ft (Table 8)
- $Q_{surf(08-09)}$ = Surface diversions from the Bunker Hill Basin for the preceding water year, acre-ft (Appendix C)

Data on agricultural use and all other uses within the District for the preceding water year (October 1, 2008 to September 30, 2009) are provided in **Appendix C**. For the period October 1, 2008 through September 30, 2009, approximately 13,963 acre-feet of groundwater was produced for agricultural applications within the District boundary. For the same period, approximately 87,024 acre-feet of groundwater was produced for all




other uses within the District boundary. Using the equations presented above with the following values inserted:

$$Q_{agr(10-11)} = (13,963) \times [(239,245-45,834)/(223,612-23387)] = 13,488 \text{ acre-feet}$$

$$Q_{non-agr(10-11)} = (87,024) \times [(239,245-45,834)/(223,612-23387)] = 84,063 \text{ acre-feet}$$

By summing these two results, it is estimated that 97,551 acre-feet of groundwater will be withdrawn within the District for the ensuing water year (October 1, 2010 to September 30, 2011). **Figure 14** shows the Agriculture and Non-Agriculture trends for the District by subbasin using approximately 132 wells within the District Boundary reporting type of use.



<p>District Water Production By Agriculture and Non-Agriculture Uses July 2008- December 2009 Engineering Investigation Report</p>	<p>State Plane NAD 83, Zone V, feet 10M DEM DWR Data Sources: SBVWCD 132 Wells Production summary</p>
<p>Engineering Investigation March 2010</p>	<p>Source: SBVWCD GIS L. Pierce</p>

Figure 14





11.0 Task 8 - Estimated Amount of Water for Surface Distribution for the Ensuing Water Year (October 1, 2010 to September 30, 2011)

The amount of water for surface distribution for the ensuing water year (October 1, 2010 to September 30, 2011) was estimated based on the average surface diversions for the Santa Ana River, Mill Creek, and Lytle Creek for the period 1984 to 2009.

As shown in **Table 8**, average surface diversions for the Santa Ana River, Mill Creek, and Lytle Creek between 1984 and 2009 were 37,852, 20,256 and 11,229 acre-feet, respectively. Therefore, the total estimated amount of water for surface distribution from the Bunker Hill Basin for the ensuing water year (October 1, 2010 to September 30, 2011) is found by summing the diversions as follows:

$$\text{Bunker Hill Surface Distribution} = 37,852 + 20,256 + 11,229 = 69,337 \text{ acre-feet}$$

As Lytle Creek is not within the District, the estimated amount of surface distribution from the District for the ensuing water year (July 1, 2009 to June 30, 2010) is the sum of the Santa Ana River and Mill Creek distributions.

$$\text{District Surface Distribution} = 37,852 + 20,256 = 58,118 \text{ acre-feet}$$



12.0 Task 9 - Estimated Amount of Water for Replenishment of the Groundwater Supplies for the Ensuing Water Year (October 1, 2010 to September 30, 2011)

The amount of water necessary from all sources, including natural recharge, to maintain constant groundwater supplies in the Bunker Hill Basin for the ensuing water year (October 1, 2010 to September 30, 2011) is estimated as follows:

$$\text{Replenishment} = \text{Total Production} - \text{Surface Diversions} + \text{Change in Storage}$$

or,

$$Q_{\text{Replenishment (10-11)}} = Q_{\text{prod (10-11)}} - Q_{\text{surf (10-11)}} + Q_{\text{Annual } \Delta \text{ storage (10-11)}}$$

The estimated production and surface diversions from the Bunker Hill Basin for the ensuing water year (October 1, 2010 to September 30, 2011) were estimated as approximately 239,124 acre-feet (from **Figure 13**) and 69,337 acre-feet (from **Table 8**), respectively. The estimated change in storage determined in Section 8.0 and shown on **Figure 11** is a decrease of 8,628 acre-feet. Therefore, the amount of water necessary for replenishment of the groundwater supplies of the Bunker Hill Basin is estimated as follows:

$$Q_{\text{Replenishment (10-11)}} = 239,124 - 69,337 + 8,628 = 178,536 \text{ acre-feet}$$

The amount of water necessary to maintain constant groundwater supplies within the District for the ensuing water year (October 1, 2010 to September 30, 2011) is estimated using the same equation as shown above, but substituting values for the District area. The estimated production within the District for the ensuing water year is estimated as approximately 239,124 acre-feet (from Section 10.0) and 34,705 acre-feet (from Section 11.0), respectively. The change in storage for the ensuing water year for the District is estimated as a decrease of 4314 acre-feet (assumed to be half of the Bunker Hill Basin). Therefore, the amount of water necessary for replenishment of the District's groundwater supplies for the ensuing water year (October 1, 2010 to September 30, 2011) is:



$$Q_{\text{Dist Replenishment (10-11)}} = (56,574 + 58,118) - (4,314 + 58,118) = 52,260 \text{ acre-feet}$$

The amount of groundwater recharge that must occur from all sources, including natural recharge, in order to bring the basin back to "full" in the ensuing water year is determined as follows:

$$Q_{\text{Replenishment}} = - Q_{\text{Accumulated } \Delta \text{ storage (93-07)}} - Q_{\text{Annual } \Delta \text{ storage (07-08)}} + Q_{\text{Replenishment (08-09)}}$$

$$Q_{\text{Replenishment}} = -(-330,000) - (-8,628) + 178,536 = 517,164 \text{ acre-feet}$$

13.0 General Findings

In addition to the above findings, Section 75505 of the California Water Code requires that a finding be made as to the amount of water necessary to be replaced in the intake areas of the groundwater basins within the District to prevent the landward movement of salt water into the fresh groundwater body, or to prevent subsidence of the land within the District. Because of its location and the elevations of its water tables, the Bunker Hill Basin is not subject to salt-water intrusion and the current groundwater levels (lowest = 985 msl) will not result in any significant land subsidence.

Section 75540 of the California Water Code requires that the District Board establish a zone or zones where a groundwater charge is to be implemented. The Code specifically states that a single zone may include the entire District and in May 1993 the Board established the entire District as one zone. This determination may be amended in the future, but lacking any evidence to the contrary, in the 2009-10 year the entire District will remain as a single zone in regard to any groundwater charge.

Section 75561 of the California Water Code further requires the Engineering Investigation to include a finding related to the amount of water the District is obligated by contract to purchase. At this time the District has no contractual obligation to purchase water for the replenishment of the groundwater supplies.



14.0 Conclusions

Based on the results of the 2010 Engineering Investigation, the San Bernardino Valley Water Conservation District finds that:

- Due to the imbalance between recharge and production since 1993, the Bunker Hill Basin's storage is 330,000 acre-feet below that which is considered full for purposes of this Investigation.
- During the ensuing water year (October 1, 2010 to September 30, 2011), the Bunker Hill Basin can be recharged, from all sources, with 517,164 acre-feet of water. This recharge quantity is derived by algebraically adding together the accumulated deficit as of the end of the preceding water year with the estimated quantity needed to maintain the 1993 storage level considered full.
- The District should continue to take the necessary steps to enhance its capability to conduct recharge operations, which includes construction of new, or maintenance and repair of existing, diversion facilities, canals, dikes, basins, roads, and other water recharge facilities. These improvements are required to ensure that the increasing demands on the Basin, especially during drought periods, can be met.

15.0 Financial Data

The San Bernardino Valley Water Conservation District, in response to questions previously asked, regarding the relationship between income and expenses that support the groundwater assessment charge is adding to the 2009-2010 Engineering Investigation this year the following financial data to show that relationship.

The numbers shown below reflect the actual income versus expenses for fiscal year 2008 – 2009 and the budgeted numbers for fiscal year 2009 – 2010. As you can see the first column is the detail for all of the San Bernardino Valley Water Conservation District's financial activity, the second column reflects the expenses that relate to the



Groundwater Assessment, and the third column represents 85 percent of expenses that relate to the Groundwater Assessment, the 15 percent of expenses taken out are believed to be related to other District business. The 15 percent was taken out to accurately state the net loss related to the Groundwater Assessment charge.

Any change in the groundwater assessment charge will not be reflected on the District's financial reports as income until 2011 in fiscal year 2010 – 2011, as the first increment of the new charge is not billed until the beginning of each calendar year
See **Table 9** 2009-2010 Budgeted Profit and Loss.

Table 9 2009-2010 Budgeted Profit and Loss

Ordinary Income/Expense	All Detail	Income/Expenses Relating to GW	85% of GW Expenses
Income			
4010 · Interest Income	65,000.00	0.00	0.00
4012 · LAIF			
Total 4010 · Interest Income	65,000.00	0.00	0.00
4020 · Groundwater Charge			
4021 · Assessments - Ag	19,252.00	19,252.00	19,252.00
4023 · Assessments - Non-Ag	624,295.00	624,295.00	624,295.00
Total 4020 · Groundwater Charge	643,547.00	643,547.00	643,547.00
4030 · Mining Income			
4031 · Cemex USA Plant Site Rent	18,000.00		
4032 · Cemex Mining	48,000.00		
4034 · Redlands Aggregate 5% Royalty	36,000.00		0.00
Total 4030 · Mining Income	102,000.00	0.00	0.00
4040 · Miscellaneous Income	500.00		
4050 · Property Tax	75,000.00		
4060 · Property Income	2,100.00		
4062 · Mentone Property			
Total 4060 · Property Income	2,100.00	0.00	0.00
4080 · Exchange Plan	40,000.00	40,000.00	40,000.00
4085 · AB 303 Grant	100,000.00		
4090 · Optimization Study Reimburse.	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total Income	1,028,147.00	683,547.00	683,547.00
Gross Profit	1,028,147.00	683,547.00	683,547.00
Expense			
5050 · Regional Programs			
5070 · Groundwater Replenishment	0.00	0.00	0.00
5080 · LAFCC Contribution	10,000.00	10,000.00	8,500.00
5081 · Wash Plan	100,000.00		
Total 5050 · Regional Programs	110,000.00	10,000.00	8,500.00

Table 9 2009-2010 Budgeted Profit and Loss

	All Detail	Income/Expenses Relating to GW	85% of GW Expenses
5100 . Professional Service			
5120 . Misc. Professional Services	100,000.00	100,000.00	85,000.00
5122 . Wash Plan Professional Services	125,000.00	0.00	0.00
5125 . Engineering Services	25,000.00	25,000.00	21,250.00
5130 . Aerial Photography & Surveying	26,000.00	26,000.00	22,100.00
5140 . Legislative Services	48,000.00	48,000.00	40,800.00
5145 . Environmental Services	6,500.00	6,500.00	5,525.00
5170 . Audit & Accounting	18,000.00	18,000.00	15,300.00
5175 . Legal - Wash Plan	75,000.00	0.00	0.00
5180 . Legal	125,000.00	125,000.00	106,250.00
5185 . Special Counsel	60,000.00	60,000.00	51,000.00
Total 5100 . Professional Service	608,500.00	408,500.00	347,225.00
5200 . Field Operations			
5210 . Equipment Maintenance	1,500.00	1,500.00	1,275.00
5220 . Maintenance Materials/Shop/Fld	2,500.00	2,500.00	2,125.00
5230 . Field Tools	1,000.00	1,000.00	850.00
5240 . Facility Maintenance	1,500.00	1,500.00	1,275.00
5250 . Emergency Repairs	3,000.00	3,000.00	2,550.00
Total 5200 . Field Operations	9,500.00	9,500.00	8,075.00
5300 . Vehicle Operations			
5310 . Vehicle Maintenance	5,000.00	5,000.00	4,250.00
5320 . Fuel	8,500.00	8,500.00	7,225.00
Total 5300 . Vehicle Operations	13,500.00	13,500.00	11,475.00
5400 . Utilities			
5410 . Alarm Service	1,500.00	1,500.00	1,275.00
5420 . Electricity	1,000.00	1,000.00	850.00
5430 . Mobile Phone	4,000.00	4,000.00	3,400.00
5440 . Telephone	10,000.00	10,000.00	8,500.00
5450 . Natural Gas	150.00	150.00	127.50
5460 . Water	2,000.00	2,000.00	1,700.00
5470 . Internet Services	5,000.00	5,000.00	4,250.00
Total 5400 . Utilities	23,650.00	23,650.00	20,102.50

Table 9 2009-2010 Budgeted Profit and Loss

	All Detail	Income/Expenses Relating to GW	85% of GW Expenses
6000 . General Administration			
6001 . General Administration - Other	400.00	400.00	340.00
6002 . Website	12,000.00	12,000.00	10,200.00
6003 . Property Tax	250.00	250.00	212.50
6006 . Permits	500.00	500.00	425.00
6009 . Licenses	500.00	500.00	425.00
6010 . Surety Bond	1,800.00	1,800.00	1,530.00
6012 . Office Maintenance	500.00	500.00	425.00
6015 . Menton House Maintenance	1,500.00	0.00	0.00
6018 . Janitorial Services	8,000.00	8,000.00	6,800.00
6019 . Janitorial Supplies	500.00	500.00	425.00
6021 . Office Equipment Maint.	1,500.00	1,500.00	1,275.00
6024 . Computer Equipment Maint.	7,500.00	7,500.00	6,375.00
6030 . Office Supplies	6,500.00	6,500.00	5,525.00
6033 . Office Equipment Rental	10,500.00	10,500.00	8,925.00
6036 . Printing	9,000.00	0.00	0.00
6039 . Postage and Overnight Delivery	1,500.00	1,500.00	1,275.00
6042 . Payroll Processing	3,000.00	3,000.00	2,550.00
6045 . Bank Service Charges	200.00	200.00	170.00
6048 . Furniture & Accessories	500.00	500.00	425.00
6051 . Uniforms	2,000.00	2,000.00	1,700.00
6060 . Outreach		0.00	0.00
6061 . WRI Contribution	1,000.00	1,000.00	850.00
6062 . Water Contributions	700.00	700.00	595.00
6064 . Business Expos	300.00	300.00	255.00
6065 . Wtr Cons. Gardens	1,750.00	1,750.00	1,487.50
Total 6060 . Outreach	3,750.00	3,750.00	3,187.50
6090 . Subscriptions/Publications	3,000.00	3,000.00	2,550.00
6091 . Public Notices	1,200.00	1,200.00	1,020.00
6093 . Memberships	18,000.00	18,000.00	15,300.00
Total 6000 . General Administration	94,100.00	83,600.00	71,060.00

Table 9 2009-2010 Budgeted Profit and Loss

	All Detail	Income/Expenses Relating to GW	85% of GW Expenses
6100 . Benefits			
6110 . Vision Insurance	1,850.00	1,850.00	1,572.50
6120 . Workers' Comp. Insurance	14,000.00	14,000.00	11,900.00
6130 . Dental Insurance	7,000.00	7,000.00	5,950.00
6140 . State Unemployment Insurance	1,200.00	1,200.00	1,020.00
6150 . Medical Insurance	96,000.00	96,000.00	81,600.00
6160 . Social Security/Medicare Taxes	40,000.00	40,000.00	34,000.00
6170 . PERS Retirement	150,000.00	150,000.00	127,500.00
6190 . Life Insurance	5,000.00	5,000.00	4,250.00
Total 6100 . Benefits	315,050.00	315,050.00	267,792.50
6200 . Salaries			
6210 . Overtime	2,500.00	2,500.00	2,125.00
6230 . Regular Salaries	665,000.00	665,000.00	565,250.00
Total 6200 . Salaries	667,500.00	667,500.00	567,375.00
6300 . Insurance			
6310 . Property Insurance	2,500.00	2,500.00	2,125.00
6320 . General Liability Insurance	26,500.00	26,500.00	22,525.00
Total 6300 . Insurance	29,000.00	29,000.00	24,650.00

Table 9 2009-2010 Budgeted Profit and Loss

	All Detail	Income/Expenses Relating to GW	85% of GW Expenses
6400 . Board of Directors' Expenses			
6401 . Directors' Fees	87,000.00	87,000.00	73,950.00
6405 . Meeting Support Expense(food,bev)	3,500.00	3,500.00	2,975.00
6410 . Mileage	2,000.00	2,000.00	1,700.00
6415 . Air Fare	3,000.00	3,000.00	2,550.00
6420 . Other Travel	500.00	500.00	425.00
6425 . Meals	2,000.00	2,000.00	1,700.00
6430 . Lodging	6,000.00	6,000.00	5,100.00
6435 . Conf/Seminar Registrations	4,000.00	4,000.00	3,400.00
6440 . Election Fees	73,500.00	73,500.00	62,475.00
Total 6400 . Board of Directors' Expenses	181,500.00	181,500.00	154,275.00
6500 . Administrative/Staff Expenses			
6505 . Mtg. Support Expense (food, bev)	2,000.00	2,000.00	1,700.00
6510 . Mileage	1,500.00	1,500.00	1,275.00
6515 . Air Fare	2,500.00	2,500.00	2,125.00
6520 . Travel, Other (rental car, taxi)	500.00	500.00	425.00
6525 . Meals	2,500.00	2,500.00	2,125.00
6530 . Lodging	4,000.00	4,000.00	3,400.00
6535 . Conf/Seminar Registrations	2,000.00	2,000.00	1,700.00
6540 . Training Registrations	1,000.00	1,000.00	850.00
Total 6500 . Administrative/Staff Expenses	16,000.00	16,000.00	13,600.00
Total Expense	2,068,300.00	1,757,800.00	1,494,130.00
Net Ordinary Income			-1,074,253.00
			-810,583.00

Table 9 2009-2010 Budgeted Profit and Loss

Other Income/Expense	All Detail	Income/Expenses	85% of GW Expenses
		Relating to GW	
Other Expense			
7000 . Construction			
7010 . Materials	3,000.00	3,000.00	3,000.00
7020 . Protective Fencing	50,000.00	50,000.00	50,000.00
7030 . Concrete Structures	100,000.00	100,000.00	100,000.00
7040 . Canals & Pipelines	200,000.00	200,000.00	200,000.00
7050 . Basins	30,000.00	30,000.00	30,000.00
Total 7000 . Construction	<u>383,000.00</u>	<u>383,000.00</u>	<u>383,000.00</u>
7100 . Land & Buildings	10,000.00	0.00	0.00
7110 . Buildings	<u>10,000.00</u>	<u>0.00</u>	<u>0.00</u>
Total 7100 .Land & Buildings	<u>10,000.00</u>	<u>0.00</u>	<u>0.00</u>
7200 . Equipment & Vehicles			
7210 . Computer Hardware	3,000.00	3,000.00	3,000.00
7220 . Computer Software	6,500.00	6,500.00	6,500.00
7240 . Office Equipment	2,000.00	2,000.00	2,000.00
7250 . New Vehicle	70,000.00	70,000.00	70,000.00
Total 7200 . Equipment & Vehicles	<u>81,500.00</u>	<u>81,500.00</u>	<u>81,500.00</u>
7400 . Professional Services			
7419 . Legal Water Rights	25,000.00	25,000.00	25,000.00
7438 . Engineering Services -Other	25,000.00	25,000.00	25,000.00
Total 7400 . Professional Services	<u>50,000.00</u>	<u>50,000.00</u>	<u>50,000.00</u>
Total Other Expense			
Net Other Income			
	<u>-1,564,653.00</u>	<u>-1,588,753.00</u>	<u>-1,325,083.00</u>



Appendix A
Water Level Elevations
for the
Bunker Hill Basin

Appendix A
Water Elevation Levels

OwnerName	Recordatio	DBKeyCasin	LocalName	StateWellIN	Fall 09 Start Elv	F09 Water Elev	F08WaterElv
Riverside Jointly UC Regents	3602772	210601	Hunt Well No 10	01S04W27A09S	1017.7	148	
Riverside Jointly UC Regents	3602773	210701	Hunt Well No 11	01S04W27A10S	1015.7		
San Bernardino Valley Municipal Water District	3603116	102701	San Bernardino Ave No 1	01S03W13E01S	1572.5	221	207
West Valley Water District	3601848	191201	Well No 15	01S04W05E05S	1170	240	232
West Valley Water District	3601944	193601	Well No 2	01N05W23Q01S	1430	254	166
West Valley Water District	3600997	161201	Well No 7	01N05W36H04S	1273.8		


Elevation Levels were averaged from Monthly reported values in most cases.




Appendix B
Hydrographs
for
Key Wells

West Valley Water District


Well 8A (8) SWN 1N5W-36H06S



West Valley Water District
Well 2
SWN 1N5W-23Q01S




City of Riverside - Gage Canal
Well 26-1
SWN 1S4W-23A02S



San Bernardino Valley Water Conservation District

12J01


SWN 1S3W-12J01S



San Bernardino Valley Water Conservation District

11H01


SWN 1S3W-11H01S



San Bernardino Valley Water Conservation District

7K01


SWN 1S2W-07K01S




San Bernardino Valley Water Conservation District

7B01


SWN IS2W-07B01S




**City of San Bernardino
Mill & D
SWN 1S4W-10N06S**




East Valley Water District
Well 41
SWN 1N3W-30N01S




East Valley Water District
Well 9A
SWN 1S3W-06H04S




City of Redlands
Maguet 2
SWN IS2W-21E01S




City of Redlands
East Lugonia 6
SWN 1S2W-21D01S




City of Redlands
Well 13
SWN 1S3W-35G09S




City of Redlands
Well 30A
SWN 1S3W-21H06S



City of Redlands
Well 34
SWN 1S4W-24K01S



**City of San Bernardino
23rd & E
SW/N IN4W-27N01S**





Appendix C
Production Data
for the
Water Year
July 2008 - December 2009

Monthly Production For Bunker Hill Basin July 2008- December 2009							
MAY09	JUN09	JULY09	AUG09	SEPT09	OCT09	NOV09	DEC09
38.20	32.30	52.80	49.20	51.80	64.80	72.60	83.00
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
108.50	105.50	103.10	101.90	101.50	66.90	64.50	5.10
164.10	168.30	161.60	156.00	155.50	154.80	143.60	171.40
92.80	89.90	91.30	87.10	13.70	0.00	0.00	0.00
134.70	139.80	150.40	146.80	147.30	140.70	149.40	160.00
107.00	9.20	69.50	96.60	71.70	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.17	1.10	13.71	0.10	19.61	9.19	0.00	0.00
221.30	219.40	299.30	308.90	277.70	160.10	216.50	137.70
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
41.08	36.66	52.69	52.05	43.74	49.64	32.88	22.47
28.46	29.19	33.92	31.14	25.29	31.70	21.43	19.17
0.17	130.33	147.40	145.46	140.15	144.83	96.79	68.88
198.27	143.31	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
58.28	4.18	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
90.23	91.82	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
49.78	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
8.43	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
104.78	99.56	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
130.14	89.31	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
88.83	0.00	0.00	147.00	187.62	110.93	158.09	0.00
71.75	74.84	92.22	43.43	125.33	55.98	64.13	0.00
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28.18	125.30	102.73	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Monthly Production For Bunker Hill Basin July 2008- December 2009					
MAY09	JUN09	JULY09	AUG09	SEPT09	OCT09
				NOV09	DEC09
5.93	75.80	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
149.88	160.63	186.86	151.81	172.69	39.97
59.08	52.83	53.70	52.29	49.98	52.43
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
55.49	51.25	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
31.48	31.32	30.87	31.24	29.35	28.68
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
15.76	34.57	70.32	29.44	0.00	0.00
75.41	56.97	64.47	26.93	77.67	5.76
143.19	136.09	138.30	137.47	129.16	131.58
153.16	0.24	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
192.98	174.80	190.19	47.43	194.20	73.95
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.00	0.00	97.24	135.29	153.89	7.88
46.50	134.98	269.25	279.75	44.73	224.48

Monthly Production For Bunker Hill Basin July 2008- December 2009						
MAY09	JUN09	JULY09	AUG09	SEPT09	OCT09	NOV09
						DEC09
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
222.78	210.18	0.00	201.11	184.17	183.42	173.34
163.47	136.66	128.83	111.22	96.84	96.69	90.02
0.00	0.23	45.64	59.30	0.00	0.14	0.14
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
102.44	87.16	83.73	80.20	3.79	0.00	13.19
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	56.03
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.00	13.15	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.05
13.82	0.08	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02
109.57	91.41	99.22	95.81	0.00	82.79	80.29
80.53	75.05	74.39	58.65	0.00	79.97	97.66
127.17	133.18	127.84	122.46	109.94	97.13	116.72
0.00	2.16	71.51	115.79	68.46	29.40	100.67
0.00	94.22	122.29	30.12	106.17	37.38	49.04
0.00	44.20	323.99	88.19	287.32	86.90	67.93
286.37	282.60	279.80	279.80	266.51	93.03	156.71
142.68	0.00	235.07	201.41	202.58		
252.65	248.34	258.42	251.55	223.95		
239.78	219.24	230.59	277.41	264.63		
333.64	304.38	315.36	308.64	286.05		
306.55	265.60	285.25	275.90	260.27		
303.54	335.09	288.42	285.25	317.09	305.63	287.17
187.26	60.90	246.10	253.24	238.32	250.71	160.77
167.47	183.10	199.44	198.13	104.75	191.22	117.47
191.39	183.47	326.29	321.38	303.86	312.07	0.00
78.35	145.45	2.76	194.60	290.00	284.56	284.81
0.47	21.31	222.17	196.32	59.34	20.54	5.49
251.94	243.18	234.24	236.61	196.68	184.78	153.83
2.15	0.60	0.00	35.26	129.01	135.08	0.00
168.44	153.01	164.92	145.89	142.81	150.32	102.34
247.30	119.60	201.85	220.24	200.38	224.55	207.97
						219.57

Monthly Production For Bunker Hill Basin July 2008- December 2009									
MAY09	JUN09	JULY09	AUG09	SEPT09	OCT09	NOV09	DEC09		
0.00	0.00	68.67	241.23	232.89	210.48	220.90	205.24		
0.00	0.00	1.64	217.84	178.47	127.71	97.11	18.50		
136.28	99.38	124.95	133.51	123.50	117.53	107.09	97.12		
73.27	60.74	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
112.65	56.29	94.77	99.62	92.54	127.29	77.27	0.33		
204.87	129.80	256.62	216.54	207.85	197.65	166.68	40.33		
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
95.50	81.87	83.40	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
129.96	99.26	164.18	141.28	109.80	54.09	47.30	28.69		
0.00	0.00	192.87	176.85	143.20	120.27	104.38	84.34		
84.62	142.39	192.87	176.85	143.20	120.27	104.38	84.34		
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
0	0	0.39							
0	0	0.22							

Total Diversions Contributing to Bunker Hill Basin	69,867	(acre-ft)
Total Bunker Hill Groundwater Production	223,216	(acre-ft)
Total for Bunker Hill	293,083	(acre-ft)
Total Agricultural Groundwater Production Within SBVWCD	13,953	(* acre-ft)
Total Non-Agricultural Groundwater Production Within SBVWCD	87,024	(* acre-ft)
Total Within SBVWCD	100,987	(acre-ft)

Notes:

1 - Estimated from prior water year (July 2008-June 2009) production.

2 - Estimated for Water Year Oct 2008-September 2009 Production.

3 - Reported in SBVWCD Groundwater Assessments July 1, 2008 - June 30, 2009

* Not all production data differentiated between agricultural or non-agricultural use.

Data Sources: Primary Water Purveyors, SBVNAWD, San Bernardino Watermaster, and Western Municipal Water District




Appendix D


Historic Annual Precipitation Graphs

Historic Annual Precipitation

Big Bear Lake Dam




Historic Annual Precipitation *Crafton Hills*




Historic Annual Precipitation

Del Rosa Ranger Station




Historic Annual Precipitation

Devore CDF




Historic Annual Precipitation

Fallsvale




Historic Annual Precipitation

Lake Arrowhead




Historic Annual Precipitation

Loma Linda Fire Department




Historic Annual Precipitation

Lytle Creek at Foothill Blvd.




Historic Annual Precipitation

Lytle Creek Fire Station




Historic Annual Precipitation

Mentone CDF




Historic Annual Precipitation

Oak Glen




Historic Annual Precipitation

Redlands - Roth




Historic Annual Precipitation

Redlands Country Club




Historic Annual Precipitation

San Bernardino CDF




Historic Annual Precipitation

San Bernardino County Hospital




Historic Annual Precipitation

Santa Ana Powerhouse #3




Historic Annual Precipitation

Yucaipa CDF




Historic Annual Precipitation

Yucca Valley Water District



Historic Annual Precipitation

Redlands Daily Facts



Historic Annual Precipitation

Big Bear City

